

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/064,752	LENKIEWICZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Theresa T. Snider.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John McGarry.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. McGarry, in light of arguments of 2/16/2005, claim 23 will be regrouped into elected Group I, claims 1-18. Examiner informed Mr. McGarry that claims 1-18 and 23 are allowable. Mr. McGarry agreed to cancel claims 19-22, drawn to a non-elected invention. Mr. McGarry agreed to specification change as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment to correct a grammatical error .